
Visualization of Angular Unconformities and Tectonic Angular Discordance Measurement Constraints by Structural Geometrical Flattening: Case Studies in the Permian (California), Grand Canyon (Arizona), Chad Basin (Nigeria), Algarve Basin (Iberian Peninsula), and the Aegean Sea Basin (Turkey)

Adewale Amosu and Yuefeng Sun

Department of Geology and Geophysics, Texas A&M University,
3115 TAMU, College Station, Texas 77843-3115

GCAGS Explore & Discover Article #00189*

http://www.gcags.org/exploreanddiscover/2017/00189_amosu_and_sun.pdf

Posted October 30, 2017.

*Article based on a full paper published in the *GCAGS Transactions* (see footnote reference below), which is available as part of the entire 2017 *GCAGS Transactions* volume via the GCAGS Bookstore at the Bureau of Economic Geology (www.beg.utexas.edu) or as an individual document via AAPG Datapages, Inc. (www.datapages.com), and delivered as a poster presentation at the 67th Annual GCAGS Convention and 64th Annual GCSSEPM Meeting in San Antonio, Texas, November 1-3, 2017.

ABSTRACT

Geometrical flattening of stratigraphic data (seismic data, outcrop data, and interpreted well sections) is often practiced within a sequence stratigraphic framework with an emphasis on the chronostratigraphic order of sequence tracts. The representation of geological data within a chronostratigraphic framework reveals significant features such as non-depositional or erosional features (unconformities and condensed sections) that may not be obvious in the original domain. Angular unconformities represent time-significant surfaces formed when an older rock unit is tilted and truncated and a younger rock unit is deposited on top of it; they present a noteworthy situation where the older rock unit may be composed of several sequence tracts, simultaneously separated from the younger rock unit by a common time-significant interface. This implies that chronostratigraphic interpretation can be applied across this interface by geometrically flattening the rock units. We apply geometrical flattening using newly developed software: WheelerLab. We examine case studies in the Permian Strata (California), the Grand Canyon (Arizona), the Chad Basin (Nigeria), the Algarve Basin (Iberian Peninsula), and the Aegean Sea Basin (Turkey). Results show that the angular unconformities are represented as angular shaped hiatuses in the chronostratigraphic section containing an acute angle less than or equal to the total tectonic angular rotation (sum of angular discordance and dip angle).

Originally published as: Amosu, A., and Y. Sun, 2017, Visualization of angular unconformities and tectonic angular discordance measurement constraints by structural geometrical flattening: Case studies in the Permian (California), Grand Canyon (Arizona), Chad Basin (Nigeria), Algarve Basin (Iberian Peninsula) and the Aegean Sea Basin (Turkey): Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies Transactions, v. 67, p. 13-21.

Visualization of Angular Unconformities and Tectonic Angular Discordance Measurement Constraints by Structural Geometrical Flattening: Case Studies in the Permian (California), Grand Canyon (Arizona), Chad Basin (Nigeria), Algarve Basin (Iberia) and the Aegean Sea Basin (Turkey).

Authors: Adewale Amosu, Yuefeng Sun, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, 77843.

- Geometrical flattening of stratigraphic data (seismic data, outcrop data and interpreted well sections) is often practiced within a sequence stratigraphic framework with an emphasis on the chronostratigraphic order of sequence tracts
- Angular unconformities represent time-significant surfaces formed when an older rock unit is tilted and truncated and a younger rock unit is deposited on top of it.
- Angular unconformities present a noteworthy situation where the older rock unit may be composed of several sequence tracts, simultaneously separated from the younger rock unit by a common time-significant interface.
- This implies that chronostratigraphic interpretation can be applied across this interface by geometrically flattening the rock units.
- We apply geometrical flattening using newly developed software: WheelerLab.

- An angular unconformity resulting from late Permian tectonism can be observed between the lower Permian Strata and Upper Permian to Triassic Strata in between the Owens valley and Death valley in east-central California.
- Restored bedding altitudes indicate Darwin Canyon Formation made up the gently dipping east flank of a north trending structural high that formed before the Triassic was deposited (Stone and Stevens, 1988). The restored Permian strata has an angular discordance of ~16 degrees with the restored Triassic strata. In addition the overlying Triassic strata has a dip angle of approximately 15 degrees.
- Figure 2 shows the orientation of the tilted layers as well as the orientation of the restored bedding after flattening. The angular unconformity appears as an acute angle of ~33 degrees. This value is equal to the sum of the post-depositional dip of the Triassic strata with the angular discordance between the Permian strata and the Triassic strata.

- Section of the Tarpeats sandstone over the Nankowep Formation.
- The Tapeats sandstone consists of an upper slope forming transition zone of nearly equal distribution of brown sandstone and green siltstone and shale of Bright Angel Shale lithology and a lower unit of cliff forming sandstone and conglomeritic sandstone (Billingsley and Hampton, 2000).
- The angular discordance of the unconformity is approximately equal to the dip of the upper part of the Unkar Group given that above the unconformity the base of the tarpeats sand is approximately flat.
- Application of the bed restoration process reveals the angular unconformity as an angular shaped hiatus with an upper acute angle of approximately 12 degrees, which is equal to the dip of the upper part of the Unkar Group

A basin-wide angular unconformity exists between the Tertiary sediments and underlying faulted and folded Cretaceous sediments of the Chad basin, in Northern Nigeria. Avbovbo et al. (1986) classify the Chad basin as a rift-related basin affected by folding in the subbasin.

- This seismic example covers a much larger area than the outcrops in the other examples; hence the part of the fold can be observed.
- Avbovbo et al. (1986) also identify seven sequences based on characteristic reflection patterns. The third, fourth and fifth sequences are tectonically folded and truncated by the sixth sequence .
- To a first order approximation, the fifth sequence has a dip angle of approximately 13 degrees.
- The restored bedding reveals the upper part of the unconformity is angular shaped hiatus with an acute angle approximately equal to the dip angle.
- The lower part of the unconformity preserves the geometrical curvature of the third and fourth sequences in the temporal domain.

- The Angular unconformity of the Aegean Sea basin occurs between tilted and folded early cretaceous to early-Paleogene scaglia facies and flat lying Eocene sediments above, and is exposed on road between Adapazari and Bilecik, Turkey (Doglioni et al, 2002; See Figure 4).
- The angular unconformity in Algarve basin occurs between dipping upper Triassic sandstone and folded Moscovian turbidites and is exposed at the Telheiro beach, Portugal (Pereira et al., 2017).
- The sections of both angular unconformities are fairly similar in geometry.
- The angular unconformity of the Algarve basin has an angular discordance ~73 degrees while the angular unconformity of the Aegean Sea basin has an angular discordance of ~60 degrees.
- The chronostratigraphic section generated by flattening depict the angular unconformities as angular shaped hiatuses with acute angles equal to ~73 degrees and ~60 degrees respectively.

- Results reveal that the angular unconformities are represented as angular shaped hiatuses in the temporal rock record containing an acute angle approximately equal to the sum of angular discordance, which defines the unconformity with the dip angle of the overlying layers.
- In cases where there is very little tectonic rotation after deposition of the overlying layer, the acute angle is approximately equal to the dip angle of the underlying layers.
- For other work and Software see: Amosu and Sun 2017 (a-f), Amosu and Wehner (2015), Amosu et al, (2016), Amosu (2013), Amosu and Smalley (2014), Amosu et al, (2011,2012, 2013), Attanayake et al (2010).

1) Avbovbo, A. A., Ayoola, E. O., & Osahon, G. A. (1986). Depositional and structural styles in Chad Basin of Northeastern Nigeria. *AAPG Bulletin*, 70(12), 1787-1798.
2) Billingsley, G. H., & Hampton, H. M. (2000). *Geologic Map of the Grand Canyon 30 X 60 Quadrangle, Coconino and Mohave Counties, Northwestern Arizona*. US Geological Survey.
3) Doglioni, C., Agostini, S.A., Crespi, M.A., Innocenti, F.A., Manetti, P.I., Rigazzi, F.E., Savasini, Y.I. (2002). On the extension in western Anatolia and the Aegean Sea. *Journal of the Virtual Explorer*, 8:169-83.
4) McKee, E. D., & Rosser, C. E. (1945). *Cambrian history of the Grand Canyon region* (Vol. 563). Carnegie Institution.
5) Noble, L. F. (1923). *A section of the Paleozoic formations of the Grand Canyon at the Bass Trail* (No. 131-B, pp. 23-73).
6) Pereira, M.F. Ribeiro, C., Gama, C., Drost, K., Chichorro, M., Vilalonga, F., & Linemann, U. (2017). Provenance of upper Triassic sandstone, southwest Iberia (Alentejo and Algarve basins): tracing variability in the sources. *International Journal of Earth Sciences*, 166 (1), 43-57.
7) Stone, P., & Stevens, C. H. (1988). An angular unconformity in the Permian section of east-central California. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 100(4), 547-55.
8) Adewale Amosu and Yuefeng Sun. Sequence Stratigraphy, Chronostratigraphy and Spatio-temporal Stratigraphic Thickness Variation of the Agboda Formation, Robertkiri and Delta Fields, Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies Transactions*, 2017 a.
9) Adewale Amosu and Yuefeng Sun. Visualization of Angular Unconformities and Tectonic Angular Discordance Measurement Constraints by Structural Geometrical Flattening: Case Studies in the Permian (California), Grand Canyon (Arizona), Chad basin (Nigeria), Algarve Basin (Iberia) and the Aegean Sea Basin (Turkey). *Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies Transactions*, 2017 b.
10) Adewale Amosu and Yuefeng Sun. WheelerLab: An Interactive Program for Sequence Stratigraphic Analysis of Seismic Sections, Outcrops and Well Sections and the Generation of Chronostratigraphic Sections and Dynamic Chronostratigraphic Sections. *SoftwareX*, 6:19-24, 2017 c.
11) Adewale Amosu and Yuefeng Sun. FischerLab: An Interactive Program for Generating Dynamic Fischer Plots from Wireline Logs and Stratigraphic Data. In AAPG Annual Convention and Exhibition, 2017 d.
12) Adewale Amosu and Yuefeng Sun. FischerLab: An Interactive Program for Generating Fischer Plots and Stepwise Fischer Plots from Wireline Logs and Stratigraphic Data: *SoftwareX* (Under Review). *SoftwareX*, 2017 e.
13) Adewale Amosu and Yuefeng Sun. WheelerLab: An Interactive Program for Sequence Stratigraphic Analysis of Seismic Sections and the Generation of Dynamic Chronostratigraphic Sections. In AAPG Annual Convention and Exhibition, 2017 f.
14) Adewale Amosu and Matthew Wehner. An Angular Unconformity Between the Woodbine and Eagle Ford Formations in Delta Hunt and Hopkins Counties of the East Texas Basin. *Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies Transactions*, 2015, 65:781-797, 2015.
15) Adewale Amosu, Yuefeng Sun and Devri Agustianto. Coherency Based Inversion Spectral Decomposition of Seismic Data. In SEG Extended Abstracts, Pages 1706-1711. SEG, 2016.
16) Attanayake A. A. Ghosh, And A. Amosu. Introductory Earth Science Education by Near Real Time Animated Visualization of Seismic Wave Propagation Across Transportable Array of Usarray. In American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, ED21C-0681, 2010.
17) Adewale Amosu. Parallel Computation Algorithm (PCA) for Large Geophysical Processes. American Association of Petroleum Geologists, AAPG/SEG Expo, Article 90182, 2013.
18) Adewale Amosu and Robert Smalley. Crustal Deformation from Surface Loading. In the Great Salt Lake Region. *Seismological Research Letters*, 85(2):447, 2014.
19) A. Amosu, R. Smalley, T.J. Wilson, M.G. Bevis, I.W. Dalziel, E.C. Kendrick, S. Kotfal, W.R. Magee, And J.E. Stutz. Automatic Processing of Antarctic Polenet GPS Data. In American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, G53B-0902, 2011.
20) Adewale Amosu, Robert Smalley, and John Puchakayala. Modeling Earth Deformation from the 2011 Inundation in the Mississippi River Basin using Hydrologic and Geodetic Data. In American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, G41A-0891, 2012.
21) Adewale Amosu, Robert Smalley, and John Puchakayala. Modeling Earths Crustal Deformation In the Lower Mississippi River Basin. *Seismological Research Letters*, 84(2):376, 2013.